velocity of 48 miles an hour was registered. Near Chicago a small yacht was capsized and a yachtsman drowned.

A severe local storm occurred in western Wisconsin during the early evening of the 12th, which was most destructive at New Richmond, a town of about 1,500 inhabitants, of whom nominal fall of 33 inches of rain in ninety hours at Turners-114 were reported to have been killed by the fury of the storm, which also wrecked a large number of the most substantial buildings in the main portion of the town. At Herman, Nebr., during the early evening of the 14th, a severe local storm killed several people and demolished several buildings. The forecasts on these occasions were for thunderstorms and showers.—E. A. Beals, Inspector.

PORTLAND, OREG., FORECAST DISTRICT.

No storm or frost warnings were issued during the month. River bulletins were regularly issued, except on Sundays, and on the 30th the river rose and flooded cellars as far back as Fourth street, also some lumber mills along the water front. Owing to timely warnings issued by the Portland office, however, there was no loss of any kind, except such as resulted from inconvenience of moving goods.

Many compliments have been given the Bureau for its

river work.—B. S. Pague, Forecast Official.

SAN FRANCISCO FORECAST DISTRICT.

Beginning June 1, 1899, a special wheat bulletin was issued daily, except on days when the weekly crop bulletin was printed. This wheat bulletin gave data from the chief points in the great valley of California. This valley is the great

wheat growing section of California.

Maximum temperatures exceeding 100° occurred on many days during the month. No northers, however, occurred, and the conditions on the whole were most favorable for the ripening wheat. It is unusual for the month of June to pass without the occurrence of a norther. A sharp outlook was kept that warnings might be given in time, but happily the warnings were not needed.

The storm of May 31 and June 1 was successfully forecast. and rain warnings were issued generally throughout the State

of California.

Beginning June 17 a special fog service was inaugurated. The ferry pilots are notified by telephone of the extent and density of the fog in the roadstead outside of the Golden Gate.—Alexander G. McAdie, Forecast Official.

AREAS OF HIGH AND LOW PRESSURE.

During the month there were seven highs and six lows sufficiently well defined to be traced upon Charts I and II. The accompanying table gives the principal facts about the origin, disappearance and apparent velocity of these highs

and lows, and the following description is added:

Highs.—All the highs of the month were first noted on the Pacific coast except VI (in Minnesota) and VII (in North Dakota). The general motion was toward the east and a little south of east. No. V was last noted in Ohio, and all the rest disappeared in the Atlantic. It should be noted that the observations at St. Johns, Newfoundland, were not available after the 3d, so that for both highs and lows the last appearance in that region could not be located exactly.

Lows.—All the lows except I (off the north Pacific) and

Chart II. The general tendency was eastward. All but VI (off the middle Atlantic coast) were last noted in the Gulf

One of the remarkable features of the month was the pheville, Tex., although no low area of any moment was present. The conditions of this rainfall will be described in a special

article in this REVIEW.

The following were the highest winds of the month: On the evening of the 1st, as low No. IX, of May, passed over the lower Lake region, Cleveland reported a south wind of 48 miles an hour, in connection with a thunderstorm. On the evening of the 4th Green Bay reported a thunderstorm wind of 40 miles from the southwest. On the evening of the 6th Detroit had a thunderstorm west wind of 40 miles, and Cleveland a similar wind from the north. On the morning of the 10th Norfolk reported a southwest thunderstorm squall of 40 miles, and Cape Henry one from the northwest of 48 miles. On the morning of the 13th, as low No. II was approaching the upper Lakes, Marquette had a southeast wind of 46 miles. As the same low approached New England, evening of the 15th, New York City reported a west wind of 46 miles. On the morning of the 29th, as storm No. VI approached the middle Atlantic coast Nantucket reported a northeast thunderstorm wind of 48 miles, and on the evening of the same day Cape Henry reported a thunderstorm wind from the northwest of 64 miles.

The following were the days of culminating thunderstorms as reported by telegraph from the regular stations of the Weather Bureau: 1st, 23; 2d, 21; 24th, 21; 25th, 21; and

28th, 23.—H. A. Hazen, Professor.

Movements of centers of areas of high and low pressure.

	First o	bserv	ved.	Last o	bserv	red.	Pat	th.	Average velocities.		
Number.	Date.	Lat. N.	Long. W.	Date.	Lat. N.	Long W.	Length.	Duration.	Daily.	Hourly.	
High areas. II	8, a. m. 6, p. m 12, p. m. 17, a. m. 20, p. m. 24, p. m. 27, p. m.	86 48 47 46 41 47 48	0 123 129 129 126 126 93 102	5, p. m. 12, a. m. 20, a. m. 23, p. m. 24, p. m. 28, a. m. †2, a. m.	0 82 41 81 42 41 33 87	68 68 79 65 82 77	Miles. 4,200 3,210 3,900 3,360 2,280 1,680 2,400	Days. 8.5 5.5 7.5 6.5 4.0 8.5	MUes. 494 584 520 517 570 480 588	Miles. 20.6 24.8 21.7 21.5 28.8 20.0 22.2	
Total Mean of 7 paths Mean of 30.0 days			İ				21,030 8,004	40.0	3, 698 528 526	154. 1 22. 0 21. 9	
Low areas. I	*30, p. m. 9. p. m. 15, p. m. 17, p. m. 19, p. m. 24, a. m.	48 54 52 51 51 44	129 114 114 112 117 115	10, a m. 16, p.m. 19, a.m. 22, a m. 24, p.m. 29, a.m.	49 45 48 47 51 89	55 60 65 61 65 74	5, 160 3, 780 2, 400 2, 640 2, 790 8, 000	10.5 7.0 8.5 4.5 5.0 5.0	491 540 686 587 558 600	20,5 22,5 28,6 24,4 23,3 25,0	
Total Mean of 6 paths Mean of 45.5		•••••		•••••	•••••		19,770 3,295	85.5	8,462 577	144.8 24.0	

RIVERS AND FLOODS.

Except in the Columbia and Brazos river basins, the rivers in all sections of the country had a falling tendency, indicating the approach of the usual low-water stages of summer.

General and copious rains over the headwaters of the Mis-II (in Idaho) were first noted to the north of Montana sissippi and Missouri rivers during the first half of the month There was evidently in this region a condition favorable to checked the fall and kept the water in the upper portion of the development of lows, as can be easily seen by examining those streams at a slightly higher stage than during May. The effect of this rise on the lower river was hardly perceptible and no danger stages were reported from any of the regular river stations on the Mississippi. On the Missouri, however, a danger stage was attained at Kansas City, where a gage reading of 21.4 feet was recorded on the 11th. In the Red River of the South there was also a slight rise.

The annual spring rise which began in the Columbia River about the middle of May continued throughout June. Forecasts of the probable river stages were issued daily from the Portland, Oreg., Weather Bureau office. The rise, however, was steady and gradual, enabling those receiving the forecasts to take necessary precautions; and, although the danger line was exceeded at several stations, no serious damage resulted.

The flood in the valley of the Brazos River at the close of the month, and during the early days of July, was sudden and destructive, and was the first important flood along that river since 1852, the flood of 1885 being classed as only a moderate one.

As the Brazos Valley flood of June-July, 1899, culminated in the latter month, a discussion of its apparant causes and observed characteristics will be made a feature of the MONTHLY WEATHER REVIEW for July, 1899.

The following preliminary report by I. M. Cline, Official in Charge of the Weather Bureau office at Galveston, Tex., contains, however, much information which is of value and interest in this connection:

The flood in the valley of the Brazos River, commencing June 29 in central Texas and passing out into the Gulf of Mexico between the 12th and 15th of July, 1899, has been, in all respects, the most destructive flood which that section, one of the most productive in Texas, has ever experienced. The Brazos River, with its deep channel, has the capacity for carrying off a vast amount of water, and as a result destructive floods on this river are very rare. According to calcula-tions published in a special bulletin of the Texas Section, Climate and Crop Service, the Brazos River discharges into the Gulf of Mexico, on an average, annually, during the months of April, May, and June, 6,447,403,576 cubic yards of water. In this instance it has been required to carry off more than this amount of water in fifteen days.

Phenomenally heavy rains fell on June 28 and 29 over the drainage basin of the Brazos River in the central portion of the State, and these were followed by heavy rains for four or five days in succession. On June 29 all the tributaries of the Brazos River from McLennan County south to Brazos County were higher than they had ever been before. This water, with that of succeeding rains, caused a flood in the Brazos which inundated all low lands to a depth ranging from 2 to 12 feet. In places it is said that the river was more than 12 miles wide. The flood moved southward very slowly, and it was fourteen days from the time the crest of the flood was noted in central Texas until it passed out into the Gulf of Mexico.

The damage to crops has been very great. All crops on the immediate river bottoms from McLennan County south are a total loss. The land bordering on the Brazos River is the most productive in the State. There was a large acreage in cotton, corn, sugar cane, and other crops. The following are the counties which have suffered the greatest dam-The following are the counties which have suffered the greatest damage: McLennan, Falls, Robertson, Milam, Brazos, Burleson, Grimes, Washington, Waller, Austin, Fort Bend, and Brazoria. There has been a small acreage inundated in some other counties, but no great damage resulted outside of these. Cotton planters have suffered most. The loss of corn has been great, and besides the crop now growing there was a large amount of last year's crop yet in the bins which has been spoiled. Sugar cane plantations in the Brazos bottoms have suffered much. In some places half the crop has been destroyed. The fered much. In some places half the crop has been destroyed. The minor crops are also of considerable importance in some of these counminor crops are also of considerable importance in some of these counties, and the loss of these represents a large sum. Farming implements, stock, and many of the small tenant houses in the bottoms have been washed away. Houses left standing are in many instances not in a fit condition for use. The total losses, judging from press reports and other available information, will aggregate nearly ten million dollars. The number of people who are left without means of sustenance is very large. The towns which have suffered most are Calvert, Brookshire, Richmond, Sandy Point, Columbia, and Brazoria. While the water was well up in some of these towns no great damage resulted exwater was well up in some of these towns no great damage resulted except to small settlements in low parts of the surrounding country. There was much suffering during the early part of the flood from hunger and exposure. Notwithstanding rescue parties were organized as rapidly as possible some of the sufferers were in tree tops and on houses for two or three days without food. Life saving crews were organized at Galveston and other points, and sent with boats to aid in

the rescue. The Government boats at Galveston were ordered to the assistance of the sufferers. The efficient work of the rescuers prevented many fatalities, and it now appears that the total number of casualties, as a result of the flood, will fall somewhere between forty

Action has already been taken by some farmers to plant other crops where cotton and corn have been destroyed. Some cotton will be planted, but to what extent can not be even approximated. Efforts are being made to get the farmers in the flood district to plant a variety of crops. It is desired to make the district self sustaining as soon as possible, and many who have interests along the Brazos River con-

sider this the best course to pursue.

Col. John D. Rodgers of Galveston, who is one of the largest planters along the Brazos River, went to his plantation as soon as he learned of the approaching flood with the intention of looking after his interests personally. Mr. Rogers makes the following statement, which will no doubt be of interest in connection with this report:

"The water around the quarters on my place was from 4 to 4½ feet deep. It was at least 4 to 4½ feet higher than in 1885, and from what deep. It was at least 4 to 4½ feet higher than in 1885, and from what information I can get it was at least 2½ to 3 feet higher than in 1852, probably as high if not higher than in 1843. I remember the overflows of 1843 and 1852, but as I was then at different places it is difficult for me to make comparisons. My impression is that it was higher in 1843 than in 1852, and the old citizens in the time of those floods said the rise of 1843 was greater than that of 1833.

"Until the flood of this year we have had but two general overflows, in 1843 and 1852. The flood of 1885 was but partial; it did not overflow more than half of my plantation. The floods in former times have nearly always been in May, giving us plenty of time to replant and make a crop."

and make a crop.

The highest and lowest water, mean stage, and monthly range at 128 river stations are given in the accompanying table. Hydrographs for typical points on seven principal rivers are shown on the accompanying chart. The stations selected for charting are: Keokuk, Št. Louis, Cairo, Memphis, Vicksburg, and New Orleans on the Mississippi; Cincinnati, on the Ohio; Nashville, on the Cumberland; Johnsonville, on the Tennessee; Kansas City, on the Missouri; Little Rock, on the Arkansas; and Shreveport, on the Red.—F. W. Krichelt, Weather Bureau.

Heights of rivers referred to zeros of gages, June, 1899.

Stations.	istance to mouth of river.	Danger line on gage.	Highes	t water.	Lowes	t water.	n stage.	onthly range.	
	Distance mouth river.	Dang	Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.	Mean	K o r	
Mississinni River.	Miles.	Feet.	Feet.		Feet.		Feet.	Feet.	
		14	11.0	22,28	5.7	1	9.6	5.8	
Reads Landing Minn	1.887	13	8.9	18	4.9	2	7.2	4.0	
St. Paul, Minn Reads Landing, Minn La Crosse, Wis	1,822	12	11.8	18	7.1	1-8	9.5	4.7	
North McGregor, Iowa		18	14.4	19-21	7.9	2	11.0	6.5	
Dubuque, Iowa	1,702	15	14.8	22-24	8.4	2,9,10	11.2	6.4	
Leclaire, Iowa		10	9.4	24-26	5.4	12, 13	7.2	4.0	
Davenport, Iowa	1,596	15	11.9	25	7.0	12, 18	9.2	4.9	
Muscatine, Iowa		16	13.3	26,27	8.5	13	10.8	4.8	
Galland, Iowa	1,475	8	6.7	29	4.1	14	5.4	2.6	
	1, 466	14	12.0	29,30	7.7	14, 16, 17	9.8	4.8	
Keokuk, Iowa		17	13.2	**,00	9.2	18, 19	11.2	4.0	
Hannibal, Mo.		23	16.3	4,5	12.3	21-23	14.1	4.0	
Grafton, Ill		30		14	19.8	21-20	22.4	5.0	
St. Louis, Mo	1,264		24.8		15.5	27, 28	18.2	4.8	
Chester, Ill		36	20.8	15					
Memphis, Tenn		83	22.4	1	16.1	80	19.1	6.8	
Helena, Ark	767	42	81.6	1,2	23.9	30	27.9	7.7	
Arkansas City, Ark	685	42	87.5	1	27.2	30	32.6	10.3	
Greenville, Miss	595	42	31.8	1	22.8	80	27.4	9.0	
Vicksburg, Miss	474	45	37.1	1	27.4	30	32.2	9.7	
New Orleans, La	108	16	18.5	1	10.2	29,30	11.9	8.3	
Missouri River.		1	1		l		۱		
Bismarck, N. Dak	1,201	14	11.8	27	7.9	1	9.5	8.9	
Pierre, S. Dak	1,006	14	11.5	28	7.5	2	9.7	4.0	
Sioux City, Iowa	676	19	14.0	8	10.2	5	12.0	3.8	
Omaha, Nebr	561	18	18.7	15,16	11.0	6	12.6	2.7	
Plattsmouth, Nebr	533	17	10.5	30	7.9	6	9.8	2.6	
St. Joseph, Mo	373	10	10.1	10	7.8	7	8.8	2.3	
Kansas City, Mo		21	21.4	11	16.6	8	18.7	4-8	
Boonville, Mo	191	20	18.3	12	14.2	25	16.0	4.1	
Hermann, Mo		24	18.3	18	13.5	26	15.8	4.8	
Des Moines River.		"-	10.0				1		
Des Moines, Iowa	150	19	9.2	17	4.8	7.8	6.8	4.4	
Illinois River.	100	10	""			.,.	•••		
Peoria, Ill	135	14	8.5	6-8	4.1	80	6.8	4.4	
Beardstown, Ill		12	11.8	1,5,6	7.2	. SŬ	10.0	4.6	
Osage River.	10	1 10	11.0	1,0,0		•	10.0	1.0	
Bagnell, Mo	70	28	11.8	11	2.3	27.28	5.9	9.5	
	10	~~	11.0	- 11	~.0	~1,~0		1 5.0	
Gasconade River. Arlington, Mo	58	16	-0.2	1	-1.0	23-30	-0.8	0.8	
	1 08	1 10	U.z	1	_1.0	₩ U-00	-0.0	۰.۰	
Youghlogheny River.	59	10	اميما	16	1.4	29	2.3	2.6	
Confluence, Pa			4.0		0.4	25	1,2	1.8	
West Newton, Pa	15	28	2.2	. 8	U.4	40	1.2	1.0	
Allegheny River.		ہ ا	امما		0.4	25-80	0.9	2.1	
Warren, Pa	177	-3	2.5	1		29 29		2.3	
Oil City, Pa	128	13	2.9	1	0.6		1.8		
Parkers Landing, Pa	78	20	4.4	1	1.0	15,23,24	1.8	8.4	

Heights of rivers referred to zeros of gages—Continued.							Heights of rivers referred to zeros of gages—Continued.										
Stations.	nce to th of	Danger line on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water		nstage.	onthly range.	Stations.	unce to th of r.	Danger line on gage.	Highest water.		Lowest water.		ı stage.	nthly ange.
	Distance mouth river.	Dang	Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.	Mear Mor			Distance mouth river.	Dang	Height.	Date.	Height.	Date.	Меап	Mor
Monongahela River.	Miles.	Feet.	Feet.	2	Feet 0.8	04.00	Feet.	Feet.	Ouachita River-Con.	Miles.	Feet.	Feet.	10	Feet.	•••	10.4	Feet.
Weston, W. Va Fairmont, W. Va Greensboro, Pa	161 119	18 25 18	2.5 4.8 10.5	15 15	0.6	24-26 9, 10 10	0.6 1.9 8.8	4.2 3.2	Monroe, La	100 100t	40	22.4 30.5	16 1	8.4 26.3	30 30	19.4 28.4	14.0
Lock No. 4, Pa	81 40	28	11.5	16	6.9	10	8.8	4.6	Melville, La	178	14	0.8	80	0.0	1-28	0.0	0.8
Conemaugh River. Johnstown, Pa Red Bank Creek.	64	7	2.4	29	1.0	28	1.5	1.4	Wilkesbarre, Pa Harrisburg, Pa W. Br. of Susquehanna.	70	17	2.6	2	1.0	24	1.7	1.6
Brookville, Pa Beaver River.	85	8	0.9	. 2	0.4	17,24	0.6	0.5	Williamsport, Pa Juniata_River.	35	20	2.4	1.2	0.5	22, 24	1.3	1.5
Ellwood Junction, Pa Great Kanawha River.	10	14	2.7	1	0.6	24	1.8	2.1	Huntingdon, Pa. 2 Potomac River.	80	24	8.5	3–6	3.0	10-30	3.1	0.8
Charleston, W. Va	61	30	10.0	15	4.9	20	6.9	5.1	Harpers Ferry, W. Va	170	16	8.6	8	1.7	28-30	2.4	1.9
New River. Hinton, W. Va	95	14	5.0	14	1.9	9-11) 24-26(2.6	8.1	James River. Lynchburg, Va Richmond, Va	257 110	18 12	1.9 0.9	14 14,15,28	0.2 -0.2	24-26 28, 25	0.9	1.7 1.7
Cheat River. Rowlesburg, W. Va Ohio River.	86	14	8.0	16	2.0	1,6-8,18	8.9	6.0	Roanoke River. Clarksville, Va Weldon, N. C	155 90	12 40	8.2 27.5	14 14	2.0 7.5	26 9, 10	8.4 10.9	6.9 20.0
Pittsburg, Pa Davis Island Dam, Pa	966 960	22 25	7.2	16 1	8.2 3.5	6 27	5.5 5.1	4.0	Cape Fear River. Fayetteville, N. C	100	38	11.1	14	2.5	26	5.1	8.6
Wheeling, W. Va Parkersburg, W. Va	875 785	86 86	9.8 11.8	1 2	4.0 6.0	28 80	6.3 8.0	5.8 5.8	Lumber River. Fairbluff, N. C	10	6	4.5	17-19	1.2	80	3.2	3.8
Point Pleasant, W. Va	708	39 50	14.6 17.2	8	5.0 7.4	80 80	8.8 10.9	9.6 9.8	Edisto River.	75	6	4.6	22, 23	2.8	8,9	3.8	1.8
Catlettsburg, Ky Portsmouth, Ohio Cincinnati, Ohio	612 499	50	17.6 18.7	4 5	8 8 11.0	30 13, 28	11.9 13.6	8.8 7.7	Pedee River.	145	27	6.9	15	1.0	27	2.8	5.9
Cincinnati, Ohio Louisville, Ky Evansville, Ind	867 184	28 85	7.9	9, 10	5.8 8.6	14, 15, 30 80	6.6 10.7	2.1	Black River. Kingstree, S. C	60	12	1.7	1	1.0	20, 21	1.4	0.7
Paducah, Ky. ¹	1,073	40 45	14,9 27.9	1	8.5 21.5	30 30	12.1 25.8	6.4	Lynch Creek. Effingham, S.C	35	12	4.1	28	2.6	80	3.2	1.5
Muskingum River. Zanesville, Ohio	70	20	12.1	2	6.4	19	8.8	5.7	Santes River. St. Stephens, S. C	50	12	5.5	18	1.7	29	8.6	8.8
Miami River. Dayton, Ohio	69	18	1.7	1	0.9	29,80	1.3	0.8	Columbia, S.C	37	15	1.1	14	0.0	25	0.5	1.1
Wabash River. Mount Carmel, Ill Licking River.	50	15	6.4	5	2.4	80	4.0	4.0	Wateree River.	45	24	8.8	12	4.8	27	5.8	4.5
Falmouth, Ky	80	25	8.5	16	1.8	2,7,8	2.0	2.2	Waccamaw River.	40	7	4.0	24	1.7	8,9	2.6	2.8
Clinch River. Speers Ferry, Va Clinton, Tenn	156 46	20 25	5.8 9.5	14 16	0.2 3.4	9, 10 12	9.4 4.8	5.6 6.1	Savannah River. Calhoun Falls, S. C Augusta, Ga	180	32	5.0 9.8	18 14	2.9 6.3	7, 24, 25 26	8.4 7.5	2. 1 8. 5
Tennessee River. Knoxville, Tenn	614	28 25	8.1	16	0.1	24	0.9	8.0	Broad River.		j	8.7	13,26	2.8	23-25	2.6	1.4
Kingston, Tenn Chattanooga, Tenn Bridgeport, Ala	534 430	88	8.7 6.6	17 17	1.4 8.0	24, 25 26 27	2.6 4.4 2.6	2.3 8.6	Flint River. Albany, Ga	80	20	2.4	1,2	0.8	22-28	1.2	2.1
Florence, Ala	890 220 190	24 16	8.8 3.8	16, 18 20	1.4	29, 30	2.8 2.8	8.1 2.6	West Point, Ga	289	20	4.1	15	2.5	23	1.8	1.6
Riverton, Ala	91	25 21	4.2 5.1	18, 20 21, 22	0.7 2.5	80	3.7	3.5 2.6	Rome, Ga	225	80	4.0	14	1.7	23-26	2.2	2.8
Cumberland River. Burnside, Ky	484 257	50 30	4.9	3	1.6	25	2.5	8.8	Gadsden, Ala	144	18	8.8	15,16	0.7	24-28 28-25	1.5	2.6
Carthage, Tenn Nashville, Tenn Arkansas River.	175	40	4.2 5.6	5, 6	1.5 2.1	28-30 30	2.5 8.7	2.7 3.5	Montgomery, Ala Selma, Ala	265 212	85 85	8.8 4.5	1	1.2	24,25	2.5 2.9	2.6 8.1
Wichita, Kans Webbers Falls, Ind. T	720 407	10 23 22	6.3 15.9	10 14	1.6 5.0	1, 2 28, 30	8.5 8.9	4.7 10.9	Columbus, Miss Demopolis, Ala	285 155	88 35	-1.3 1.2	25 1	-2.9 -1.9	28 2 i	-2.8 -0.7	1.6
Fort Smith, Ark Dardanelle, Ark	845 250 170	22 21 23	16.8 16.4 16.9	15 16 17	6.8 5.7 7.0	80 80 80	7.0 10.3 11.5	10.0 10.7 9.9	Black Warrior River. Tuscaloosa, Ala Columbia River.	90	38	2.0	1	-0.1	23-25	0,5	2. 1
Little Rock, Ark	150	26	14.8	8	8.5	80	7.4	11.8	Umatilla, Oreg The Dalles, Oreg Willamette River.	270 166	25 40	25.2 43.0	21 22	17.1 28.5	1	21.4 36.3	8.1 14.5
Yazoo City, Miss	80	25	11.6	1	2.1	80	6.6	9,5	Albany, Oreg	99 10	20 15	8.0 24.2	8 23	5.0 17.1	2,3	6.6 21.1	3.0
Arthur City, Tex Fulton, Ark	688 565	27 28 29	17.4 21.8	19 2	8.8 9.0	80 1 10	11.8 15.2	9.1 12.8	Red Bluff, Cal	241	28	4.	1	0.9	29, 80	1.7	3.7
Shreveport, La	449 139	33	12.5	23 9	9.7 8.6	1, 12 16	11.2 9.9	2.8 2.9	Sacramento, Cal	70	25	17.2	6	12.0	80	14.9	5.9
Camden, Ark	340	89	31.4	8	5.1	28	14.0	26.3	¹ Record f	or 30 da	lys.		2 Reco	rd for 28	days.		

CLIMATE AND CROP SERVICE.

By James Berry, Chief of Climate and Crop Service Division.

The following extracts relating to the general weather conditions in the several States and Territories are taken from the monthly reports of the respective sections of the Climate and Crop Service. The name of the section director is given after each summary.

Rainfall is expressed in inches.

The average precipitation was 0.82, or 0.71 above normal; the greatest amount, 2.52, occurred at Pantano, while none fell at Buckeye and Sentinel—W. G. Burns.

Sentinel—W. G. Burns.

Arkansus.—The mean temperature was 77.3°, or 0.1° above normal; the highest was 102°, at Jonesboro on the 5th, and the lowest, 50°, at Witts Springs on the 18th. The average precipitation was 2.74, or 1.22 below normal; the greatest monthly amount, 5.90, occurred at Pond, and the least, 0.20, at Luna Landing.—E. B. Richards.

California.—The mean temperature for the State, obtained by weighting the reports from 290 stations, so that equal areas have about the same weight, was 71.5°, or 0.5° above the June normal for the State, as determined from 201 records; the highest was 122°, at Volcano Springs, on the 13th, and the lowest, 23°, at Bodie on the 26th. The average precipitation for the State, as determined by the records of 300 stations, was 0.57; the excess, as indicated by reports from 167 stations Alabama.—The mean temperature was 79.8°, or 2.2° above normal; the highest was 105°, at Selma on the 23d, and the lowest, 49°, at Oneonto on the 18th. The average precipitation was 2.54, or 1.87 below normal; the greatest monthly amount, 9.50, occurred at Daphne, and the least, 0.64, at Eufaula.—F. P. Chaffee.

Arizona.—The mean temperature was 78.8°, or 1.6° below normal; the highest was 120°, at Aztec and Parker on the 30th, and at Texas Hill on the 17th and 29th, and the lowest, 25°, at Prescott on the 2d.

H. R. Recards.

California.—The mean temperature for the State, obtained by weighting the reports from 290 stations, so that equal areas have about the same weight, was 71.5°, or 0.5° above the June normal for the State, as determined from 201 records; the highest was 122°, at Volcano Springs, on the 13th, and the lowest, 23°, at Bodie on the 26th. The average precipitation for the State, as determined by the records of 300 stations, was 0.57; the excess, as indicated by reports from 167 stations which have normals, was 0.30; the greatest monthly amount was 4.05,